1	VIRGINIA CODE COMMISSION
2 3 4 5 6 7	Tuesday, November 18, 2014 - 10 a.m. General Assembly Building, 6th Floor Speaker's Conference Room Richmond, Virginia 23219
8 9 10	MEMBERS PRESENT: John S. Edwards; James M. LeMunyon; Ryan T. McDougle; Gregory D. Habeeb; Charles S. Sharp; Thomas M. Moncure, Jr.; Robert L. Calhoun; E.M. Miller, Jr.; Christopher R. Nolen; G. Timothy Oksman; Carlos L. Hopkins; and Robert L. Tavenner
11	MEMBERS ABSENT: Pamela S. Baskervill
12 13 14	OTHERS PRESENT: Eric Page, LeClair Ryan; Brian Kennedy, LexisNexis; Alex Medrano, West (Thomson Reuters); Jeff Palmore; Melanie West, Department of Planning and Budget; and NBC29
15 16 17	STAFF PRESENT: Jane Chaffin, Lilli Hausenfluck, Andrew Kubincanek, Karen Perrine, Nicole Brenner, Ryan Brimmer, Jescey French, Scott Meacham, Sarah Stanton, and Tom Stevens, Division of Legislative Services (DLS)
18	Call to order: Senator John Edwards called the meeting to order at 10:05 a.m.
19 20 21	<u>Approval of minutes:</u> Hearing no objection, Senator Edwards stated that the minutes of the October 21, 2014, meeting of the Code Commission stand approved as printed and distributed to the members of the Code Commission.
22 23 24 25	Administrative Law Advisory Committee (ALAC): Andrew Kubincanek, DLS, explained that he and Eric Page are presenting the ALAC report in the absence of ALAC Chair Tom Lisk, who was unable to attend the meeting due to illness. Mr. Kubincanek stated that ALAC had approved two draft bills to present to the Code Commission.
26 27 28 29 30 31	Mr. Kubincanek stated that ALAC reviewed provisions regarding delivery of notice in administrative matters in response to the Chair's request. ALAC drafted a bill to amend Titles 2.2 and 54.1 to allow delivery of certain notices required to be sent by U.S. mail to include delivery by a commercial service. The language is based on the bill approved by the Code Commission for Titles 16.1, 17.1, 18.2, and 19.2 at its meeting on July 21, 2014. However, this bill uses the term "third-party commercial carrier" instead of "commercial delivery service."
32 33 34 35 36 37	The Code Commission discussed the notice bill presented by Mr. Kubincanek and the use of the term "third-party commercial carrier." In response to a question raised by E.M. Miller, staff advised that the Rules of Supreme Court of Virginia use both "commercial carrier" and "third-party commercial carrier." Timothy Oksman moved to accept the ALAC proposal to use "third-party commercial carrier" and change the previous bill for Titles 16.1, 17.1, 18.2, and 19.2 to also use "third-party commercial carrier." The motion was seconded and approved.
38 39 40 41	Delegate James LeMunyon moved to approve ALAC's recommendation to allow state agencies under Titles 2.2 and 54.1 to use a third-party commercial carrier when service, delivery, or transmission of any notice or paper is authorized or required to be accomplished by mail, ordinary mail, registered mail, or certified mail. Upon second of Mr. Miller, the motion passed.

42

Delegate Gregory Habeeb voted against the motion.

Page 2 of 5

Tuesday, November 18, 2014

- Mr. Page, chair of the ALAC Model State Administrative Procedures Act Judicial Work Group, 43
- 44 presented a revised draft bill pertaining to ex parte communications intended to resolve Code
- 45 Commission concerns that the ALAC proposal presented at the last meeting only allowed ex
- 46 parte communications from ministerial matters on the agency side. The proposal adds a new
- 47 § 2.2-4024.2 to the Administrative Process Act regarding ex parte communications that applies
- 48 to hearing officers in formal hearings, establishes the types of ex parte communications that are
- 49 permissible, and establishes a mechanism to handle inadvertent or nonmaterial discussion. The
- 50 revised draft permits a hearing officer to communicate with a private party on ministerial
- 51 matters.
- 52 Delegate Habeeb questioned whether the ex parte communication bill was within the authority of
- 53 the Code Commission and whether the issue would be more properly referred to the Boyd-
- 54 Graves Conference.
- 55 After discussion, the Code Commission determined that the proposed language, which was
- 56 intended to expand the use of ex parte contact, may actually constrict the use and sent the matter
- 57 back to ALAC for further review.
- 58 Follow-up on cleanup bill changing "exemplary damages" to "punitive damages": Delegate
- Habeeb advised that in response to a request from the Code Commission at its meeting on 59
- 60 September 16, 2014, he circulated the bill draft proposing to change the term "exemplary
- 61 damages" to "punitive damages" to potential stakeholders. Delegate Habeeb reported that overall
- the comments were benign or none; however, the Motor Vehicle Dealer Board expressed a 62
- concern because the Board has used the term "exemplary damages" in some of its rulings. Upon 63
- 64 motion of Delegate Habeeb, seconded by Robert Calhoun, the bill was approved as presented.
- 65 Follow-up on obsolete laws bills (Titles 44 and 51.5): A staff recommendation to repeal
- § 51.5-35.1 of the Code of Virginia was approved at the September 16, 2014, meeting, subject to 66
- staff findings. Sarah Stanton, DLS attorney, advised that she had contacted the Board for People 67
- with Disabilities and confirmed that there are no outstanding issues concerning the two obsolete 68
- 69 boards, Board for Rights of the Disabled or Board for the Rights of Virginians with Disabilities.
- 70 The Code Commission endorsed introducing legislation at the 2015 Session of the General
- 71 Assembly to repeal this section of the Code of Virginia.
- 72 Jescey French, DLS attorney, presented a draft bill regarding removing obsolete provisions from
- 73 Title 44, which reflects the Code Commission's decisions at its meeting on September 16, 2014.
- 74 Ms. French reviewed the bill and the associated chart and information handed out at the meeting.
- 75 In response to a previous request by the Code Commission, Carlos Hopkins reported that he
- 76 reviewed several provisions pertaining to air raids. Section 44-207 was only applicable during
- 77 World War II and can be repealed. Sections 44-147 through 44-151 are unnecessary, as other
- 78 sections of the Code of Virginia address the Governor's authority during an emergency. Section
- 79 44-206 is also obsolete. Finally, § 44-18 has never been implemented; the Adjutant General uses
- 80 the seal of the Department of Defense and does not object to the repeal of this section.
- 81 On motion of Judge Charles Sharp and second by Delegate LeMunyon, the Code Commission
- 82 approved legislation repealing provisions of Title 44 as presented in the draft bill.
- 83 Title 33.2 technical corrections bill: Nicole Brenner, DLS attorney, presented a technical
- 84 corrections bill for the Title 33.2 recodification. The draft bill corrects typographical errors and
- 85 other mistakes; reinserts language that was omitted from Chapter 805 of the 2014 Acts of

- 86 Assembly and provides that the reinsertion is effective retroactively to October 1, 2014; and
- 87 implements clarifying changes. Ms. Brenner reviewed and explained the reason for each change.
- Mr. Calhoun asked if the change to § 33.2-321 on lines 69-70 was a substantive change. Ms.
- 89 Brenner explained that the purpose of the amendment is to allow counties who have withdrawn
- or elect to withdraw from the secondary state highway system to take advantage of this section;
- 91 current statutes about who can withdraw or how to withdraw are not affected.
- 92 Ms. Brenner stated that the Williamsburg Area Transit Authority, currently located in Title 15.2,
- 93 was inadvertently omitted from the Title 33.2 recodification legislation last year. Although this
- 94 change was not included in the bill presented to the Commission at this meeting, staff
- 95 recommends moving this authority from Title 15.2 to Title 33.2. The executive director and
- attorney for the Authority have no objection to moving these provisions to Title 33.2.
- 97 On motion of Delegate LeMunyon and second by Robert Tavenner, the Code Commission
- 98 approved the presented bill with amendments moving the Williamsburg Area Transit Authority
- 99 from Title 15.2 to Title 33.2.
- 100 **Codification of compacts:** Ms. Brenner gave a brief history of the compilation of compacts,
- advising that prior to 2001, the Secretary of the Commonwealth compiled a compacts volume on
- a periodic basis. The Secretary of the Commonwealth's compacts volume included both
- historical compacts and active compacts. This function was transferred to the Code Commission
- in 2001, and a separate compacts volume has been in place as part of the Code of Virginia since
- that time.
- 106 Ms. Brenner described existing Code Commission policy concerning the codification of
- 107 compacts and explained that each compact is assigned a Code section number in accordance with
- its proper title location. If a compact is general and permanent in nature, the compact is set out in
- full in both the Code and in the compacts volume. Otherwise, compacts are set out in full only in
- the compacts volume, and the section number in the Code contains only a reference directing the
- reader to the compacts volume. A review of the Code and the compacts volume reveals that most
- 112 compacts are set out in both. Ms. Brenner added that, as of July 1, 2014, all compacts reside
- online as part of the Virginia Law Portal.
- 114 Ms. Brenner asked the Commission to consider assigning Code sections to the few compacts that
- are not codified. In doing so, the Commission could eliminate the compacts volume as
- duplicative and unnecessary since all compacts would appear in the Code and be available
- online.
- The Code Commission agreed with the staff recommendation to set out all compacts in the Code
- of Virginia and approved the following motions:
- §§ 10.1-1149 and 10.1-1150 (forest fire compacts) Judge Sharp moved, seconded by
- 121 Christopher Nolen, to set out these compacts, which are currently incorporated by reference
- in the Code.
- Interstate Civil Defense Compact of 1952 Ms. Brenner indicated that this compact is no
- longer in effect, but was not enacted by the General Assembly, so it cannot be repealed. Mr.
- 125 Calhoun moved, seconded by Judge Sharp, that this compact be removed from the the
- compacts volume. Staff suggested retaining the compact online for historical purposes, but
- labeling it as "not in effect."

- Nonresident Violator Compact of 1977 Ms. Brenner advised that this compact is in effect and used and should be assigned a Code section number in Title 46.2. Delegate LeMunyon moved, seconded by Mr. Calhoun, that the compact be assigned a Code section number in Title 46.2.
- Breaks Interstate Park Compact of 1954 Ms. Brenner advised that this compact needs a Code section number assigned in Title 10.1. Delegate LeMunyon moved, seconded by Mr. Calhoun, that the compact be assigned a Code section number in Title 10.1.
- Potomac River Bridge Towing Compact of 1991 Ms. Brenner advised that this compact needs a Code section number assigned. Judge Sharp moved, seconded by Delegate LeMunyon, that the compact be assigned a Code section number in Title 46.2.
- Woodrow Wilson Bridge and Tunnel Compact Ms. Brenner suggested repealing this compact, which is codified as § 33.2-1300, because it is no longer in effect. The Department of Transportation (VDOT) requested an opportunity to review the compact. Mr. Calhoun moved, seconded by Mr. Miller, that, barring opposition from VDOT, the compact be repealed.
- Virginia-North Carolina Interstate High-Speed Rail Compact, Washington Metropolitan Area
 Transit Regulation Compact of 1958, and Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority
 Compact of 1966 (Title 33.2) Ms. Brenner advised that these compacts have been assigned
 Code section numbers, but are not set out in the Code. After discussion, Mr. Miller moved,
 seconded by Mr. Calhoun, to set out these three compacts in the Code.
- Mr. Miller commented that he has observed that certain uniform laws and random statutes that are not compacts are included in the compacts volume. Staff advised that this had been noted and that if the Commission decides to retain the volume, the matter must be addressed. Senator Edwards deferred the item on whether to retain or eliminate the compacts volume. Mr. Miller suggested that staff add this item to the work plan for 2015.
- Review of 2015 Code Commission legislation: Jane Chaffin presented a chart summarizing the Code Commission legislation for the 2015 Session of the General Assembly. Staff stated that patrons are needed for several of the bills. Senator Edwards agreed to carry the ALAC study bills and bills regarding delivery of certain notices by third-party commercial carrier. The obsolete laws bills (Titles 10.1, 51.5, and 44) do not have a patron, and it was suggested to ask Senator Ryan McDougle.
- Review of sections not set out in Code pertaining to legislative intent, findings, purpose, and policy statements: Ms. Chaffin reviewed the Code Commission policy on "not set out" and its recent request to see the actual text before deciding to remove any "not set out" section that contained legislative findings, policy, or purpose. The meeting materials included a list of the sections and the Act of Assembly containing the actual text. The Commission discussed the matter briefly but did not take any action.
- Recodification of Title 23, Educational Institutions: Ryan Brimmer, DLS attorney, presented the recodification report regarding the following chapters of Subtitle V Other Educational Institutions: Commonwealth Health Research Board and Fund, Other Educational Entities, and Museums and Other Cultural Entities. Mr. Brimmer indicated that the report has been revised in accordance with the determinations made at the October 21, 2014, meeting of the Code

- 170 Commission, including a request for more descriptive drafting notes. In addition, the provisions
- 171 regarding the Commonwealth Health Research Board and Fund are ready for review, as staff
- 172 received a response from the Board.
- Existing Chapter 22 (§ 23-277 et seq.) Commonwealth Health Research Board and Fund (lines
- 174 1-187). Mr. Brimmer recommended moving this chapter into Title 32.1 as Chapter 5.3 instead of
- placing it in proposed Title 23.1. He pointed out that the Board is established as an independent
- body and there is no place in the title where it is declared an educational institution. The
- placement in Title 32.1 was proposed by the Office of the Attorney General and the Board
- agrees. The Code Commission concurred and accepted the report with the following changes to
- 179 proposed § 32.1-162.23:

182

183

184

185

- In subsection B, first paragraph, last sentence pertaining to filling vacancies, change "original appointments" to "initial appointments" (line 41).
 - Proposed subsection G provides that Board members will not receive compensation; however, the last sentence says that "Such compensation and expenses shall be paid from the Fund." Staff was directed to make the language consistent by striking "compensation and" on line 66.
- 186 Proposed Chapter 31 - Other Educational Entities (lines 188-933). Staff explained that the proposed name change of the A.L. Philpott Manufacturing Extension Partnership to Genedge 187 188 Alliance stems from approval of the new trade name in 2010 by the entity's board. It was noted, 189 however, that appropriations are directed toward the original name. The Code Commission 190 agreed that the name change should not be part of the recodification, nor should the proposal in 191 § 23-231.9 to change the quorum be part of the recodification. The Code Commission agreed 192 that amendments of this nature should be in separate legislation initiated by the entity. Mr. 193 Calhoun moved, seconded by Judge Sharp, to return the entity name and quorum language to 194 original form, with the exception of nonsubstantive technical changes.
- 195 Proposed Chapter 32 Museums and Other Cultural Entities (lines 934-1692). The Code
- 196 Commission discussed amendments to the Frontier Culture Museum of Virginia (proposed
- 197 Article 1). In the last paragraph of § 23-297 the amendments remove the per diem for board
- 198 members. Staff stated that the Attorney General's office had advised that language in the
- appropriation act supersedes the per diem payment. The Code Commission is not in favor of
- 200 conforming the statutes to the appropriation act when the appropriation act is effective for a
- limited amount of time. Senator Edwards moved, seconded by Mr. Nolen, to leave the per diem
- 202 language in the code. The motion was approved.
- 203 In proposed Article 4, Jamestown-Yorktown Foundation, staff will return the language in § 23-
- 204 287 pertaining to who appoints the executive committee so that the chairman makes the
- appointments.
- 206 Other business: Mr. Tavenner advised that the contract for publication of the Code of Virginia,
- currently held by LexisNexis, was coming up for renewal in 2016.
- 208 **Public comment; adjournment:** The Chair opened the floor for public comment. As there was
- 209 no public comment and no further business to discuss, the Chair adjourned the meeting at
- 210 12:15 p.m.