VIRGINIA CODE COMMISSION

Monday, July 20, 2015 - 10 a.m. General Assembly Building 6th Floo

General Assembly Building, 6th Floor Speaker's Conference Room Richmond, Virginia 23219

- 1 MEMBERS PRESENT: John S. Edwards; Gregory D. Habeeb (by telephone); Carlos L.
- 2 Hopkins; James M. LeMunyon; Ryan T. McDougle; Charles S. Sharp, Pamela S. Baskervill;
- 3 Thomas M. Moncure, Jr.; Robert L. Calhoun; E.M. Miller, Jr.; Christopher R. Nolen; G. Timothy
- 4 Oksman; Robert L. Tavenner

5 MEMBERS ABSENT: None

- 6 STAFF PRESENT: Jane Chaffin, Lilli Hausenfluck, Andrew Kubincanek, Nicole Brenner,
 7 Ryan Brimmer, Tom Stevens, Division of Legislative Services (DLS)
- 8 **<u>Call to order:</u>** Senator Edwards, Chair, called the meeting to order at 10:05 a.m.
- 9 Approval of minutes: Hearing no objection, Senator Edwards stated that the minutes of the May
- 10 4, 2015, meeting of the Code Commission stand approved as printed and distributed to the 11 members.
- 12 Adoption of policy on remote meeting participation: Alan Gernhardt explained the proposed
- 13 policy on member participation in Commission meetings by electronic communication means
- 14 required under § 2.2-3708.1 of the Code of Virginia. Section 2.2-3708.1 allows individual
- 15 members of the Commission to participate in a meeting through electronic communication
- 16 means from a remote location that is not open to the public in the event of (i) an emergency or
- 17 personal matter or (ii) a temporary or permanent disability or other medical condition. For a
- 18 member to participate remotely, the Commission must have a written policy addressing such
- 19 participation and meet other requirements specified in § 2.2-3708.1.
- 20 The proposed policy is derived from a similar policy adopted by the FOIA Council and provides
- 21 for automatic approval of a member to participate remotely unless the member's participation
- would violate FOIA, and, if such participation is challenged, then the matter would be put to a
- 23 vote. Remote participation due to an emergency or personal matter is limited by law to two
- 24 meetings or 25% of the meetings per member each calendar year.
- On motion of Mr. Calhoun, seconded by Judge Baskervill, the Commission approved the policyas presented.
- The chair recognized that Delegate Habeeb is participating remotely by phone because he is away in Hilton Head.
- 29 Approval of Administrative Law Advisory Committee (ALAC) work plan: Tom Lisk,
- 30 ALAC chair, presented ALAC's work plan for 2015.
- 31 Continuing studies include the review of the Model State Administrative Procedure Act, the
- 32 timeliness of regulations as it relates to the Executive review process of regulations, and issues
- 33 surrounding incorporation of an agency's guidance document by reference in its own regulation.
- 34 The MSAPA judicial work group is continuing its discussion of ex parte communications and
- 35 additional amendments in the Administrative Process Act (APA) on reconsideration and
- 36 intervention. ALAC will also discuss amendments on administrative hearings by teleconference
- 37 or videoconference.

Virginia Code Commission Meeting Page 2 of 5 Monday, July 20, 2015

- 38 ALAC proposes to review with the Attorney General's office an interpretation issue on agencies'
- 39 use of the Administrative Process Act (APA) exemption provided in § 2.2-4006 A 4 a. Section
- 40 2.2-4006 A 4 a provides that regulations are exempt from part of the APA when the regulations
- 41 are necessary to conform to changes in Virginia statutory law or the appropriation act where no
- 42 agency discretion is involved. In 2011, an amendment was added requiring regulations
- 43 promulgated under this exemption to be filed with the Registrar within 90 days of the law's
- 44 effective date.
- 45 In response to a comment by Mr. Calhoun concerning the amount of time it takes for a
- 46 regulations to undergo the Executive review process, Mr. Hopkins stated that the issue is being
- 47 looked at internally at the Governor's office. Mr. Lisk stated that this problem is not a new one
- 48 and has been an issue since the early 1990s. ALAC is looking at ways to hasten the review
- 49 process without impeding the Governor's authority.
- 50 Mr. Nolen made a motion, seconded by Mr. Moncure, to approve ALAC's proposed work plan as
- 51 presented. The motion carried.

52 **Request to study whether changes to Code of Virginia are needed in light of Obergefell v.**

- 53 Hodges ruling: The chair pointed to the June 26 letter from Speaker Howell and Senator
- 54 Norment requesting the Code Commission to evaluate what changes may be necessary to the
- 55 Code of Virginia in light of the U.S. Supreme Court ruling in Obergefell v. Hodges and asked
- 56 how the Commission would like to proceed. After discussing the matter, the Commission
- 57 requested DLS to evaluate the scope of the request and how it relates to Senator Ebbin's and
- 58 Delegate Simon's 2015 legislation concerning use of gender-specific terms throughout the Code
- 59 of Virginia, which is also under review by the Commission. Upon Mr. Miller expressing interest
- 60 in the history of any overturned constitutional provisions in Virginia, David Cotter reported that
- 61 there have been two--one pertaining to Virginia's poll tax and the other concerning racial
- 62 segregation. Mr. Cotter stated that both provisions remained in the Virginia constitution until
- 63 1971 when the constitution was wholly revised. Staff will report back to the Commission later
- 64 this summer.
- 65 **Obsolete laws report Title 58.1:** Mark Vucci and David Rosenberg presented a chart of Title
- 58.1-related statutes identified as obsolete and a proposed bill draft to remove the obsolete
- 67 provisions from the Code of Virginia.
- 68 Mr. Vucci reported that § 58.1-339.5 (earned-income tax credit for low-income families with
- 69 children) never took effect and is, therefore, recommended for repeal. The section passed in 1998
- vunder the condition that the credit was effective upon written notice from the U.S. Department of
- 71 Health and Human Services that the income tax credit qualifies as a portion of the maintenance
- 72 of effort under the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families program. Instead, Virginia received
- a notice that the earned income tax program for low income families does not qualify for federal
- funds. Mr. Vucci stated that there is already a credit on the books that basically replaces this
- section, but § 58.1-339.5 potentially could be reactivated if a letter meeting the condition of the
- 76 1998 act is received from the federal government.
- 77 Mr. Vucci recommended the following tax credits be repealed because the credits have expired:
- 78 §§ 58.1-339.9 (rent reductions), 58.1-434 (telecommunications income), 58.1-435 (low-income
- housing), 58.1-439.1 (clean fuel vehicle and advanced cellulosic biofuels job creation), 58.1-
- 439.11 (employees with disabilities), 58.1-439.13 (investing in technology industries in tobacco-

Virginia Code Commission Meeting Page 3 of 5 Monday, July 20, 2015

- 81 dependent localities), and 58.1-439.14 (research and development occurring in tobacco-
- 82 dependent localities). Also, staff recommended repealing the following sections pertaining to the
- 83 issuance of regulations, which are no longer needed since the associated tax credits have expired:
- 84 § 36-55.63 (low-income housing) and § 58.1-439.16 (tobacco-dependent localities). Staff further
- 85 noted that the low-income housing tax credit has been replaced by the livable home credit. Mr.
- 86 Vucci alerted the members that § 58.1-439.1 had recently expired on January 1, 2015, and
- 87 legislation to extend the tax credit failed.
- 88 In addition, Mr. Vucci recommended the Commission consider repealing the following sections:
- Section 58.1-439.15 pertains to the Technology Initiative in Tobacco-Dependent Localities
 Fund. In 2013 all moneys in the fund reverted to the Tobacco Indemnification and
 Community Revitalization Fund, therefore, there is no longer a reason to keep this section in
 the Code.
- Section 58.1-439.15:01 pertains to incentive payments for using domestic tobacco. This tax
 incentive expired in 2012. Although legislation was introduced to extend the incentive, the
 Attorney General's office advised against doing so because of concerns that participating
 cigarette manufacturers would argue that the incentive was a violation of the Tobacco Master
 Settlement Agreement.
- Mr. Rosenberg explained the reasoning for considering the repeal of the remaining sections listedin the chart as follows:
- Section 58.1-639 sets out transitional provisions related to the state sales and use tax rate increase effective July 1, 2013. It allows corporations who entered into a contract prior to such date but with delivery after that date to apply to the Department of Taxation for a refund of the difference in the tax upon proof of a legitimate contract. The timeframe for applying for this refund has expired.
- Section 58.1-1840.1 establishes the Tax Amnesty Program and provides for the program to be conducted from July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010. The period of amnesty ended July 1, 2010.
- Section 58.1-2290.1 establishes a tax on fuel in inventory as part of the transition for the motor fuels tax increase in 2013.
- Section 58.1-3605.1 requires a one-time report to localities by owners of tax exempt real estate. The report deadline was in 1993.
- Section 58.1-3712.1 provides for a local gross receipts tax on oil severed from ground. This tax expired July 1, 1995.
- Section 58.1-3822 provides for an additional transient occupancy tax for Arlington County.
 The tax expired on January 1, 2012.
- Section 58.1-3825.1 provides for an additional transient occupancy tax in certain counties and cities in Northern Virginia. The tax was ruled unconstitutional by the Virginia Supreme Court, and Chapter 652 of the 2008 Acts of Assembly declared the tax null and void and
- 119 provided for the refund of the tax.

- 120 The Commission discussed the recommendations and asked staff to check with the patron of the
- failed 2015 legislation to extend the tax credit provided in § 58.1-439.1. Mr. Vucci indicated that
- 122 staff would do so. Mr. Vucci also stated that he and Mr. Rosenberg are working with the
- 123 Department of Taxation and other stakeholders to ensure everyone is in agreement with the
- 124 recommendations.
- 125 Mr. Vucci explained that a savings clause is included as the third enactment of the proposed
- legislative draft. The enactment provides that the bill will not affect any tax credit or tax benefit
- 127 or other tax attribute allowed or earned under any section repealed by the bill or tax liability or 128 obligation pursuant to any such section.
- 128 obligation pursuant to any such section.
- Mr. Calhoun asked staff to alert Arlington County about the repeal of the transient occupancytax.
- 131 In response to Delegate LeMunyon's inquiry related to credits and carry forwards, staff indicated
- 132 that there is no fiscal impact resulting from the proposed bill draft.
- 133 Upon motion of Mr. Miller, seconded by Mr. Nolen, the Commission approved the
- recommendations and proposed bill draft as presented.
- 135 **<u>Recodification of Title 23, Educational Institutions:</u> Ryan Brimmer and Tom Stevens**
- presented proposed Chapters 8 (Health and Campus Safety) and 9 (Academic Policies) and
 revisited issues previously raised in several other chapters.
- 138 Proposed Chapter 8, Health and Campus Safety. This chapter is a consolidation of provisions
- relating to student health and campus safety that are currently scattered throughout existing Title
- 140 23. Mr. Brimmer explained the changes, and the Commission discussed the following points:
- In response to Delegate LeMunyon's question concerning whether there is a difference in the use of the term "each baccalaureate public institution of higher education" (§ 23.1-802 C, line 82) versus "each public institution of higher education" (§ 23.1-803 B, line 99), staff
 responded that the usage in § 23.1-803 B is broader. Mr. Brimmer further elaborated on the change from "four-year public institution" to "baccalaureate public institution" by explaining that the change is not substantive and reflects the fact that many students are not graduating
- in four years.
- Mr. Oksman expressed concern with current language in proposed § 23.1-802 (existing § 23-9.2:8) relating to student mental health policies. The specific concern involves subsection B (lines 72-80) and whether the statute is contradictory to privacy laws. Mr. Stevens advised that staff will assess whether the language in question complies with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act and Title 16 of the Code of Virginia.
- Mr. Brimmer explained that a reference to the appointment of auxiliary police forces was added in proposed § 23.1-812 B (lines 379-383). The Commission discussed whether the section should be repealed. Staff will review the language further and return with a recommendation.
- 157 <u>Proposed Chapter 9, Academic Policies.</u> This chapter is a consolidation of statutes related to
 158 academic policies scattered throughout Title 23.
- 159 Senator McDougle commented on proposed § 23.1-900 (lines 573-606), which pertains to
- 160 information to include on academic transcripts of students who have been suspended, dismissed,

Virginia Code Commission Meeting Page 5 of 5 Monday, July 20, 2015

- 161 or withdrawn from an institution due to an investigation for an offense involving sexual violence.
- 162 Specifically, new language in subsection C refers to institutions "described in subsection B."
- 163 Senator McDougle asked for clarification on whether there is a difference in which institutions
- 164 are affected under current law versus the ones that would be affected by the proposed change.
- 165 Staff will compile a list of such institutions for the Commission's review. The Commission also
- discussed in detail subsection B and how it should be clarified to specifically say what it means.
- 167 For instance, Judge Baskervill noted that the first part of subsection B provides an exclusion for
- 168 George Washington University by description, not by name. Mr. Brimmer stated that the
- 169 titlewide definitions should provide clarification to some of the questions. The definitions are
- 170 under development and will be presented at a future meeting.
- 171 Proposed Chapters 25, 26, 27, 28, and 29. Mr. Brimmer addressed outstanding issues in
- 172 previously reviewed Chapters 25 (Virginia Military Institute), 26 (Virginia Polytechnic Institute)
- 173 & State University), 27 (Virginia State University), 28 (College of William & Mary), and 29
- 174 (State Board for Community Colleges).
- 175 Much of the language previously proposed for removal has been restored at the request of
- individual institutions. For example, an attempt was made to standardize provisions on
- 177 conferring degrees in the titlewide general provisions section, but staff was unsuccessful in
- 178 convincing some institutions to agree to remove such language from the statutes applying to the
- specific institution. Also, other language previously identified as not needing to be set out in
- 180 statute has been restored because individual institutions have insisted that it be retained. An
- example of such language is in proposed § 23.1-2510 (existing § 23-112), which authorizes the
- 182 Superintendent to "enlist musicians for service at the Institute."
- 183 At the conclusion of his presentation, Mr. Brimmer advised that the Commission has completed
- 184 9 of the 32 chapters. Staff plans to present 12 chapters at the August meeting and 11 chapters in
- 185 September.
- 186 Staff suggested that the Commission consider either lengthening the meeting days or scheduling
- 187 additional meeting dates in order to finalize the Title 23 recodification by the end of the year.
- 188 The Commission agreed to work through lunch at its August meeting.
- 189 **<u>Virginia Law Portal update:</u>** Lilli Hausenfluck updated the members on the status of the Code
- 190 of Virginia on the Virginia Law Portal. She explained that the portal brings together the legal
- 191 publications that comprise Virginia law, including the Code of Virginia, Virginia Administrative
- 192 Code, Virginia Constitution, charters, authorities, compacts, and uncodified acts. The Code of
- 193 Virginia on the portal updates and replaces the previous format commonly known as "LIS."
- Bookmarks and links that previously directed the user to LIS now redirect to the portal. Ms.
- 195 Hausenfluck concluded by announcing that the Virginia Law Portal has a Twitter account
- 196 @VA_Laws.
- 197 **Other business:** The Chair opened the floor for other business. No items were presented.

198 **Public comment; adjournment:** The Chair opened the floor for public comment. As there was

199 no public comment and no further business to discuss, the Chair adjourned the meeting at

200 12:30 p.m.